S.O.P FOR REVIEWING A RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION (RFI) QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN (QAPP) SOP # HW-8 Revision:0

THIS DOCUMENT IS DERIVED FROM THE USEPA REGION V PROJECT PLAN CONTENTS REQUIREMENTS GUIDANCE,

THE REGION II CERCLA QA MANUAL AND
THE NYSDEC RCRA PROJECT PLAN GUIDANCE

Leon Lazarus and Margo Hunt Environmental Scientists Monitoring Management Branch
Kevin Kubik, Chief Toxic and Hazardous Waste Section
Robert Runyon, Chief Monitoring Management Branch

WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS WHO PROVIDED TECHNICA ASSISTANCE IN PREPARING THIS DOCUMENT:

GALE SUTTON
ROCK VITALE
MAUREEN SERAFINI
FRANK LANGONE
MITZI MILLER

GALSON LABORATORIES
ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS
NYSDEC
IBM CORPORATION
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT

INTRODUCTION

During a RCRA facility investigation (RFI), matrices of unknown composition, such as potentially contaminated soils and ground water, sampled to determine if remedial actions are required. This type of sampling is used to protect human health by accurately identifying hazardous waste and contaminated aquifers. If proper quality assurance procedures are not followed when sampling potentially contaminated matrices, some hazardous wastes and contaminated aquifers will not be accurately identified.

The data from sampling matrices of unknown composition are used to determine if a waste material must comply with hazardous waste regulations, or an aquifer requires remediation. This type of environmentally sensitive data requires comprehensive quassurance procedures to definitively identify hazardous wastes and contaminated aquifers.

Because critical environmental decisions are based on RFIs, regulatory agencies approve these project plans before sampling commences.

If the answer to any of the following questions is "No", it should explained on the final page of this SOP. If any procedures are racceptable, they should be answered "No". For example, aqueous metals samples should be preserved with nitric acid until the pH<2. If the project plan does not indicate any preservative for aqueous metals

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Date: October 1994

Revision: 0

samples, or lists acetic acid as the preservative, the SOP question or sample preservatives should be answered "No".

	YES	NO	N/A
I. TITLE PAGE AND QAPP APPROVAL			
Is the QAPP approved by the organization's project manager and QAO? If a subcontractor is used, has the subcontractor's project manager and QAO also signed the QAPP?			
II. TABLE OF CONTENTS			
Does the QAPP's Table of Contents include the following?			
 Introduction. A listing of the 16 QAPP elements. 			<u> </u>
3. A listing of QAPP appendices. (i.e., SOPs, summaries of past data, etc).			
4. A listing of tables and figures incorporated in the QAPP.			
III. PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
Does the project description allow a technical person, unfamiliar with the project, to understand the goals of the project?			
Does the project description include the following?			
1. <u>Introduction</u>			
A brief description of the entire project, including the objectives of the current investigation phase, and strategies for future phases of the project.			
2. <u>Site Description</u>			
A description of site specific features including location, borders, topography, geology, hydrology,			

Revision: 0

etc. Separate paragraphs/sections shall be used to clearly address each of these items.

	YES	NO	N/F
3. <u>Site History or Background</u>			
A brief summary of previous investigations and monitoring programs, and an overview of how those results relate to the current investigation.			
4. <u>Target Compounds</u>			
Discussion of important site contaminants or target compounds, including required detection limits.			
5. <u>Project Objectives</u>			
The discussion of project objectives should include the following:			
a. A brief description of specific project objectives.			
b. An outline of anticipated data applications,including field screening and field measurements.Examples of data applications include:			
- Qualitative or semi-quantitative analyses for selection of sampling locations.			
- Future enforcement actions.			
- Data for remedial action alternatives.			
- Identification of hazardous waste. - Protection of public health.			
- Delineation of environmental contamination			

	YES	NO	N/A
c. Data Variability			
For air monitoring, what are the potential sources of spatial and temporal variability, and how will the monitoring design account for them? Factors that may affect air sample concentrations include: season, time of day, upstream vs. downstream, ocean effects, matrix effects, and process variations.			
d. Data Quality Objective (DQO) synopsis. DQOs are:			
 Qualitative and quantitative statements which specify the quality of data required to make a decision. 			
2. Statements of the level of uncertainty that a decision maker is willing to accept when the results are going to be used in a regulatory/ programmatic decision.			
3. Established prior to data collection.			
4. Integrated with project planning.			
5. Unique to the site.			
6. <u>Sample Network and Rationale</u>			
Is there a concise description and justification of the sampling network design and rationale?			
The sampling design and strategy must be justified. This may be referenced to readily available work plans and sampling plans. The following are minimum requirements:			
a. Diagrams or site maps of sampling locations.			
b. Short rationale of selected sampling locations.			

Date: October 1994 Revision: 0

c. Summary table listing matrices, parameters, and their frequency of collection.			
	YES	NO	N/A
NOTE: The sampling design shall include both laboratory and field parameters. Field parameters may include:			
- Any field screening (i.e., screening of volatile organics using Hnu, OVA, etc.).			
 Any field measurements (i.e., pH, conductance, temperature, etc). 			
- Hydrogeologic investigations (i.e., soil permeability, particle size, etc).			
7. <u>Project Schedule</u>			
A description of dates anticipated for start, milestones, and completion of the project and monitoring activities. A milestone table or a bar chart consisting of project tasks and time lines is recommended.			
iv. PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY			
Are essential personnel/ organizations that are necessary for the remedial activity identified?			
Are their responsibilities delineated?			

1. Management Responsibilities

Operational responsibilities for the following tasks must be enumerated:

Final review and approval of QAPP			
Field activities; audits of reports identifying non-conformance; and corrective actions			
Field audits			
Data assessment			
	YES	NO	N/A
Performance and system audits of laboratories (does not apply to CLP labs)			
Analysis Performance and system audits of field activities Approval of QA program procedures A table, chart or figure showing project and line authority is recommended.	 	 rgan:	 izati
V. QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR DATA MEASUREMENT IN PRECISION, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, REPRESENTATIVENESS COMPARABILITY			<u> </u>
Are the quality assurance project objectives (precision, accuracy, completeness, representativeness, and comparability) for both field activities (sampling, measurements and screening) and laboratory analyses clearly described? Are project required acceptance limits and means to achieve these QA objectives described?			
VI. SAMPLING PROCEDURES			
Are detailed sampling procedures provided? Sampling procedures should include the following:			

1.	Detailed criteria for sampling point selection.			
2.	Detailed criteria for collection of background samples, if any. Detailed procedures for preparing composite samples, if applicable.			
3.	Detailed procedures for sample collection of specific matrices and parameters. Will grab or composite samples be collected? How are "grab" and "composite" defined? Will samples be homogenized? How will samples be homogenized? What types of sampling equipment will be used? What types of precautions will be utilized to minimize evaporation of volatiles?			
		YES	NO	N/A
4.	Detailed procedures for sample packaging, handling and shipment, including time consideration (i.e., shipped daily by overnight courier) and field filtration procedures.			
5.	Sample containers, reagents, preservatives, holding times, matrices, sample volumes, blanks, and analytical methods must be tabulated in a parameter table. Note 1: soil, water, and chlorinated water samples of the same analyte often have different preservatives. Note 2: Although we strongly encourage samplers to utilize CERCLA/SDWA pH preservation protocols (adding preservatives in the field, drop by drop, until the appropriate pH is achieved), SW-846 allows specified volumes of preservatives to be added to sample containers before sampling commences. Note 3: Similar EPA methods may have significantly different holding time requirements for the same analytes.			
6.	Decontamination procedures for sampling containers, sampling equipment, drilling equipment, well evacuation equipment, and field instruments.			

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Are Chain-of-Custody procedures

adequately addressed?

1.

7. Chain-of-custody procedures form.
8. Detailed procedures for preparing/collecting trip blanks, rinse blanks and field duplicates.

9. Documentation of sampling activities to record sample history and sampling conditions.

10. Monitoring well construction procedures, evacuation procedures, and testing for NAPLs before well evacuation.

Date: October 1994

Revision: 0

			YES	NO	N/F
VIII	•	CALIBRATION PROCEDURES AND FREQUENCY			
1.	Field	d Instruments			
	a.	Are initial calibration criteria acceptable?			
	b.	Are continuing calibration criteria acceptable?			
2.	c. When will re-calibration be mandated?				
	a. b.	Are initial calibration criteria acceptable? Are continuing calibration criteria acceptable?			
	C.	When will re-calibration be mandated?			
IX.	<u>ANAL</u>	YTICAL PROCEDURES			
1.	metho	detection limits for the proposed analytical ods capable of demonstrating compliance with latory action levels?			
2.	Are a	analytical deliverables based on DQOs?			
3.	Are	appropriate analytical methods selected?			

X. <u>INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS</u>

Are adequate quality control procedures specified for laboratory and field activities? Parameters of concern include the following:

			YES	NO	N/F
1.	<u>Fiel</u>	d Activities (Measurements and Screening)			
	a. b.	Continuing calibration check Replicate analyses			
	c. d.	Spike sample analyses Blanks (trip blank, rinse blank, DI Water blank)			
2.		Quality control (QC) samples Zero and span gases (i.e., air monitoring) Calibration standards and devices, etc. ratory Analyses (Does not apply to CLP Labs Lizing CLP methods)			
	a.	Method blanks			
	b. c.	Reagent/preparation blanks Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicates			
	d.	Calibration standards			
	e.	Internal standards			
	f.	Surrogate standards			
	g.	Laboratory duplicate/replicate analysis, etc.			
XI.	<u>DATA</u>	REDUCTION, VALIDATION AND REPORTING			
1.		methods described for reducing both field and bratory data to a final report?			
2.	vali anot	the Functional Guidelines or the Region II data dation protocols used to validate data? If ther data validation protocol is proposed, it be approved by EPA.			

Revision: 0

YES NO N/A

3. Is the data validator independent of the laboratory which analyzed the samples? (Note: this restriction only applies to RFI sampling).

XII.	PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS		
1.	Field Audits		
	internal audits be administered by contractor's manager or QA officer?	 	
	At what frequency will these audits be conducted?	 	
	Is the Field audit SOP adequate?	 	
2.	Lab Audits		
evalı for t	recent (within last 12 months) performance lation sample results and state audit reports the analytes of concern acceptable? (Does not y to CLP Labs).	 	
XIII	PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE		
_	preventative maintenance procedures for field and ratory instruments adequate?	 	

XIV. SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES USED TO ASSES DATA PRECISION, ACCURAC AND COMPLETENESS

Are the procedures used in assessing the accuracy and precision of analytical data, and completeness of data collection clearly documented? Provide example equations for the % recovery, RPD and % valid data. Other data assessment procedures should be described as well.

Are equations provided to demonstrate how precision

CLAVUALD	OPERATING	DROCEDIER.

£	?	?
---	---	---

		YES	NO	N/A
xv.	CORRECTIVE ACTION			
	the description of corrective action address following?			
1.	Is there a mechanism for initiating corrective actions?			
2.	Are there procedures for developing, approving and implementing corrective actions?			
3.	Will appropriate alternate corrective actions be taken?			
4.	When will the laboratory reanalyze samples because QC problems such as: surrogate recoveries, calibration, internal standard area counts, contaminated method blanks, holding time exceedences, and analyte concentrations side the instrument calibration range?			
xvi.	QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT			
	quality assurance reports for management be rated?			